

Key Accountable Measures - Technical background and conventions

This report sets out the Council's progress against its Key Accountable Measures (KAMs). Performance is presented by priority and augmented with measures of volume and contextual measures to further describe the operating environment and / or challenges.

Activities are monitored within the council priorities and RAG rated by projected year end performance, e.g. a prediction of whether the target or activity will be achieved by the end of the financial year (or, for projects, by the target date:

★ On target ♦ Behind target ■ Target missed 🎯 Annual target ⌚ Data not available ~ Baseline

(E) (P) indicates that an outturn is an estimate / provisional and will be confirmed during the year

Where a KAM is reported as 'amber' or 'red', an exception report is provided. This identifies the reasons for this assessment and shows what remedial action has been put in place to either bring the measure back on target or to mitigate the consequence of it not being achieved; and whether any Strategic action is required.

NB: where a change has been made to a target or the way in which a measure is reported, an asterisk (*) is placed next to the current target and in the adjacent explanatory comment.

Comparative outturns

Where possible our progress is compared to 152 local authorities, showing in which quartile we stand; because of the timescales involved in central government publication these are available 6-12 months in arrears.

Measures of Volume / Contextual Measure

Non-targeted measures are reported to either illustrate the workload in a service or the state of the district, e.g. average house prices. These are presented in the District Wide Health Check Dashboard and listed by service.

Scorecard

The Council Performance Scorecard is an overall summary of performance against the Council Strategy Priorities and Overarching Aim, Core Business areas and the two corporate health measures relating to revenue expenditure forecast and staff turnover.

A RAG rating is given to each Priority and the Overarching aim. The RAG judgement aims to reflect the likelihood of delivering the priorities and aims over the lifetime of the Council Strategy.

- Green (G) – indicates we have either achieved / exceeded or expected to achieve / exceed what we set out to do
- Amber (A) – indicates we are behind where we anticipated to be, but still expect to achieve or complete the activities as planned
- Red (R) indicates that we have either not achieved – or do not expect to achieve what we set out to do based on the current plans and results to date.

In a similar manner, an overall RAG rating is applied to each core business area and the Corporate Programme, but the focus is instead on the likelihood of achieving the end of year targets.

District Wide Health Dashboard

This provides a visual representation of the evolution of some Measures of Volume. The elements used to provide information are as follows:

- Arrows – upwards or downwards based on the evolution of the measure quarter versus quarter (e.g. Q2 this year vs. Q2 of the previous financial year). In some cases it is more appropriate to compare Year to Date values and this is indicated by the 'YTD' text on the arrows. A sideways pointing arrow indicates that there is not much difference between the two reporting periods.
- The number or percentages provided in bold on the arrows show the actual difference change or the percentage variance respectively between the reporting period (quarter only or year to date) and the corresponding period of previous year. The values between brackets reflect the result for the reporting quarter (except where 'YTD' text is added which indicates that the value is year to date).

NB: direction of travel is based on the difference between the two values and not as a result of a statistical test to assess if such a difference is statistically significant or not.